

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS (personally), USPTO, Washington D.C.
 Fax: 1-703-305-32-30. Paris 24th February 2003. Application 09/744,994 (PCT/IB00/00843) (conf.N°4671),
 PCT filing 06/22/00, US entry 02/21/01. (Very similar text of e-mail letters sent at 10h today by:
 David.Vanore@USPTO.GOV, Michael.Neas@USPTO.GOV, USPTO.info@USPTO.GOV, that will be re-sent
 from stricter: zagyansk@cyberport.tm.fr -tomorrow). From Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe 75010 Paris

**EXCEPTIONALLY PROVEN PROTEST AGAINST SPECIALLY MAKING FORM FOR
 RUSHING BY GOVERNMENTAL USPTO.**

Fax - 1 page

Mr.JAMES E.ROGAN (personally) UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE for Intellectual Property, Director of USPTO.

Dear Highest Governmental Responsible! I rigorously protest against PROVEN classical making by (logically) USPTO means for rushing and consecutive specially made "scientific" nonobjectivity with criminal purposes.

Let us see the 100% facts. A. The USPTO itself!! made, 2 times, my address as half- erroneous. I received first 2 USPTO letters (both with coat of arms!!) occasionally! But in spite of my tens!!! letters, USPTO corrected nothing (unbelievable). B. I paid (at filing) in excess \$30 with asking to send me registered letters. USPTO does not do it without any explanation (as for dogs?). (Is it their Governmental Kampfs, established de facto in classics?). C. I had several claims (to see explanation in §5 of this letter, that is also repeating once more in post letter of 19th Feb. 2003 as well 02/14/03), which are impossible (they, AS, depend on several claims connected by "AND": too simple). They were seen de facto and unbelievably accepted as "multiple dependent claims" YET in 2001 by Receiving Section, but certainly I had to have the problems later (In such rushing?)...

And this happens AS IT WAS PREPARED for later rushing!!! for my Induced unobjective mistakes. The 01/22/03 (according to their letter), USPTO sent me the letter. It was unregistered (and there is NO ANY STAMP DE FACTO, including exceptionally even local stamp of "Entraide") letter with AGAIN half-wrong noncorrected UNCORRECT (half) address (objective delay for posts), that came to me ONLY in 21 days! It is also UNBELIEVABLE letter. In particular, very clearly, they did logically criminal demonstration. They took only two examples (for 60 pages) for this Revolutionary invention (proved end of.. EINSTEIN-BOHR!!) but with exemplary International Search (EPO as ISA without any asked obligatory corrections NOWHERE and without any "X" and "Y") and they stipulate that ALL Disclosure is "incomprehensible". It was incredible to ignore the made Exemplary International Search (but How!!! They did?). But moreover, they ask to change ALL Disclosure during one month!!, moreover! for letter that arrived (with the above specially potentialized by USPTO delays) only in 21 days!!! What art for "objectivity"!!!! in extraordinary rushing. Very fortunately, I clearly proved (100%) that even these 2 examples are NONSENSE, made by not well educated USPTO men de facto. But even if one had, even one example for 60 pages with perturbing slips, they HAD NO rights to make such exaggeration (for dogs), especially WITH PREPARED (§A-C above)- rushings! This arbitrary Grotesque is illegal and have logically criminal PUNISHABLE purposes [see also my sent letters (02/14/03-fax and 02/19/03), again, below in post letter].

But due to excessive exaggerations of USPTO and due to even a little double sens in their last letter, I was trying to re-make (ONLY) the equation pages (2-3 pages), because USPTO wrote about not sufficient spacing for them. They asked (only for this logically) the double spaces, that is not like for International application Rules, although this USPTO demand was 100% illegal. These corrected correct pages were accepted by IPEA (EPO) and they are obligatory for ANY Reception Office (USPTO included). But I was trying to do it in demonstrating such artificial arbitrary atmosphere (for dogs) of USPTO, that was not certainly obligatory AS WAS WRITEN BY ME IN LETTER. And I did not do any Declaration about changes in new made pages. And I proved this USPTO artificial means OBJECTIVELY. After sending, I really proved such dangerous means of your Governmental Office (to induce artificially the errors in reality). [Very objectively: USPTO has the obligatory (concerning the work of dogs too) correct pages from also IPEA]. AND I had in such ARTIFICIAL rushing A LOT OF NEW (NEW) slips (illegal without Declaration)... Moreover, I knew also that I can (and shall) utilize the new means as script "ESPO" (closer signes that do not disturb the above and below), wherein the 1 1/2 space is certainly good without dangerous change of numeration of the resting pages.... So with such perfect too objective Historical proofs, I am sending today (registered letter today and tomorrow) the perfectly checked (with objective I-e-g-a-l Declaration of certainly minor changes and moreover with very detailed (written by hand) calculations to check rapidly the History of Science: wrong Michelson's with already wrong Entstein's. Sincerely yours Dr.Y.Zagyansky Supplements: 3 copies of new made (with too! minor corrections) pages 27-30 (1 1/2 space) with Script "ESPO" as well pp.27-31 (with promised double spaces) with corresponding Declaration about corrections, 6 pages of written detailed physico-mathematical proofs, new re-made again Abstract text and the total letter of 14th-17th Feb.03 with all its Supplements (except those pages 27-30). ALL pages of all copies are signed by me at verso (concerning the last letter with 02/24/03).
 02/25/03 I AM YET CHECKING AND CHECKING AND... SENDING

Yuly Zagyansky

Yuly Zagyansky

*** RX REPORT ***

RECEPTION OK

| | | |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| TX/RX NO | 6878 | |
| CONNECTION TEL | | 0140468046 |
| SUBADDRESS | | |
| CONNECTION ID | | |
| ST. TIME | 02/25 09:06 | |
| USAGE T | 00'55 | |
| PGS. | 1 | |
| RESULT | OK | |